UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/511,539	10/18/2004	Masahiro Nakanishi	0033-0956PUS1	2317
2292 7590 08/12/2008 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747 EALL S CHURCH, VA 22040 0747			EXAMINER	
			LAY, MICHELLE K	
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2628	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/12/2008	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/511,539	NAKANISHI, MASAHIRO	
Examiner-indated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MICHELLE K. LAY	2628	
All Participants:	Status of Application: Fina	a <u>l</u>	
(1) MICHELLE K. LAY.	(3)		
(2) <u>Catherine Voisinet</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>31 July 2008</u>	Time: <u>1pm</u>		
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	nt's representative)		
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
Claims discussed:			
Prior art documents discussed:  Kashiwagi (5,850,206)			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	DISCUSSED:	
Part III.			
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary</li> </ul>	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview	
/Michelle K. Lay/			
Examiner, Art Unit 2628	pplicant/Applicant's Representati	ve Signature – if appropriate)	

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner requested interview due to inheriting the case from previous examiner (Almus Jankis). No record was found for the reasons why previous examiner agreed to withdraw finality (09/27/2007). Applicant reiterated that the primary reference (Kashiwagi) fails to teach a complexity means as recited in the independent claims and previous examiner had agreed. Also the grounds of the final office action (09/27/2007) was changed concerning NON-amended claims. Further, limitations of new and amended claims (06/11/2007) were not addressed. Current examiner has agreed to withdraw finality and issue a new non-final office action after further search and consideration.